AGRICULTURE AND BIOLOGY JOURNAL OF NORTH AMERICA

ISSN Print: 2151-7517, ISSN Online: 2151-7525 © 2010, ScienceHuβ, http://www.scihub.org/ABJNA

Irrigation with magnetized water enhances growth, chemical constituent and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).

¹Mahmoud Hozayn1* and Amira Mohamed Saeed Abdul Qados²

¹ Agronomy Dept., Agric. and Biol. Div., National Research Centre, El-Bohoth St., 12622 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

²Botany Dept., Princess Nora Bint Abdul Rahman University, P.O Box 2508 Safaqes St . Granada eq. Riyadh 13242 – 07229 KSA

1* Corresponding author Tel: +2 02 012 6662524, email: m_hozien4@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Magnetic fields are known to induce biochemical changes and could be used as a stimulator for growth related reactions. Two pot experiments were conducted during 2008/09 and 2009/2010 seasons at green hose of National Research Centre, Egypt to study the impact of magnetized water on growth, some chemical constituents (chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, carotenoids, total pigment, total indole, total phenol contents and protein profile of plant) and productivity of chickpea plants. Chickpea seeds were irrigated with water passed through magnetic device (U050 mg, 0.5 inch, output 4-6 m³/hr, production by Magnetic Technologies L.C.C., Russia, branch United Arab Emirates). Results indicated that, irrigation with magnetized water induced positive significant effect on all studied parameters. The percent of increase in seed, straw and biological yields per plant were 39.64, 41.03 and 39.85%, respectively compared with tap water (average over both seasons). Magnetic water treatment could be used to enhance growth, chemical constituents and productivity of chickpea under green house condition.

Keywords: Chickpea, magnetized water, growth, chemical constitute, yield.

INTRODUCTION

The water treated by the magnetic field or pass through a magnetic device called magnetized water. The effects of magnetic fields on running water have been observed for years. This technology was used mainly in countries which have very little chemical industry, like Russia, China, Poland and Bulgaria, who all reported the successful use of magnets in treating water for irrigation, industry and home use. Till 1980 a little were known about how the magnetic field can stimulate plant growth or even prevent it. Wojcik (1995) reported that in the beginning of 1980s Japanese called Fujio Shimazaki working in Shimazaki Seed Company was the first who reported that stationary magnetic fields can improve the germination of seeds and speed up the growth of plants. According to Jones et al. (1986) they found that the electromagnetic fields amplify the plant growth regulator induced Phenylalanine Ammonia-Lyrase during cell differentiation in the suspended cultured plant cell.

Magnetic fields have been reported to exert a positive effect on the germination of seeds (Alexander and Doijode, 1995; Carbonell *et al.*, 2000), on plant growth and development (De Souza *et al.*, 1999; Martínez *et al.*, 2000), on tree growth (Ruzic *et al.*,

1998), on the ripening of fruits and vegetables (Boe and Salunke, 1963) and on crop yield (Pietruszewski, 1993); some review papers also mention a number of controversial, early results (Findlay and Hope, 1976; Frey, 1993).

According to the data obtained from Russia, Australia, Poland, Turkey, Portugal, England, United States, China and Japan (Yakovlev *et al.* 1990 and Cakmak *et al.* 2009), decrease of soil alkalinity, increase in mobile forms of fertilizers, increase in crop yields, and earlier vegetation periods can be achieved by magnetized water treatment. However, in Egypt the available studies and application of this technology in agriculture is very limited. Therefore, the present work aim to study the effect of irrigation with magnetized water on growth, yield, yield components and some chemical constitute of chickpea under green house condition.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two pot experiments were conducted in the screen green house of Agronomy Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt during two successive winter season (2008/09 and 2009/2010) to study the response of growth, yield and some chemical constituents of chickpea for irrigation with

tap and magnetized water. Seeds of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) (var. Sena-1) were obtained from Legume Research Department, Field Crops Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Giza, Egypt. Seeds without visible defect, insect damage and malformation were selected and planted in ten pots (30 cm in diameter and 50 cm depth) containing a mixture of clay and sandy soil (2:1). Sowing date was in the first week of December and second week of November in first and second seasons, respectively. Half of the pots were irrigated twice on a week interval with tap water, while the other ten pots were irrigated with the tap water after magnetization through passing in magnetic device (U050 mg, 0.5 inch, output 4-6 m³/hr, production by Magnetic Technologies L.C.C., Russia, branch United Arab Emirates). The recommended NPK fertilizers were applied through the period of experiment.

At 55 days from sowing, plant height, fresh and oven dry weight of fifteen chickpea plants were determined. Photosynthetic Pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) of leaves were determined spectrophotometrically as the method described by Moran (1982). Total indole acetic acid (IAA) as described by Larsen et al., (1962), and total phenol, as described by Malik and Singh (1980), were estimated in the fresh shoots. Electrophoresis protein profile of lentil shoots were analyzed according to sodium dodocyl sulphate poly electrophoresis acrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) technique (Sheri, et al., 2000). Polypeptide maps, molecular protein markers, percentage of band intensity, molecular weight and mobility rate of each polypeptide were related to standard markers using

gel protein analyzer version 3 (MEDIA CYBERNE TICE, USA). On the first week of May in both seasons, data on chickpea yield and its components were recorded.

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS program Version 16. A student test (*t*-test) was done to examine the significance between magnetic and nonmagnetic water treatments of all characters under study.

RESULTS

Chick pea growth: Data presented in Table (1) show that irrigation chick pea plant with magnetized water significantly increased tested growth parameters as compared to pots which irrigated with tap water. The improvement over control treatment reached to 11.98, 12.51, 5.76, and 1.88% for plant height, fresh and dry weight (g/plant) and percentage of water contents (%), respectively as average of two seasons.

Chemical constituents: Photosynthetic pigments (Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll a+b and carotenoids), total phenols and total indole in shoot plants at 45 days after sowing show obvious changes than the control in response to the irrigation with magnetized water as shown in Table 2. Significant increases in the above mentioned characters were recorded from irrigated plants with magnetized water as compared to irrigated plants with tap water. The increases in these parameters reached to 26.56, 21.83, 24.91, 42.00, 16.64, 39.22 and 8.66%, respectively over control treatment.

Table 1. Effect of irrigation with magnetized water on chickpea growth at 55 days after sowing compared with tap water at 2008/09 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Treatment	2008/09	2008/09 season		2009/2010 season		
Character	Tap water	Magnetic water	<i>t</i> -sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	<i>t</i> -sign.
Plant height (cm)	20.40	23.60	*	24.20	26.20	ns
Fresh weight (g plant ⁻¹)	1.39	1.58	**	1.55	1.73	**
Dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)	0.32	0.35	ns	0.37	0.38	*
Water contents (%)	76.98	77.85	ns	75.93	77.93	**

^{*, **} t is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, ns: non significant.

Table 2. Effect of irrigation with magnetized water on chickpea photosynthetic pigments, total phenol and total indole contents at 55 days after sowing compared with tap water at 2008/09 season.

2000/03 Season.						
Treatment		Тар	Magnetic	<i>t</i> -sign.		
Character		water	water			
Photosynthetic pigments (mg/100 g fresh weight)	Chlorophyll a	5.72	7.24	**		
	Chlorophyll b	3.07	3.74	**		
	Chlorophyll a+b	8.79	10.98	**		
	Carotenoids	4.48	4.50	ns		
	Total pigments	13.27	15.48	**		
Total phenol (mg/100 g fresh		312.29	434.13	**		
weight)						
Total indole (μg/100 g fresh weight)		9.80	9.80	**		

^{*, **} *t* is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, ns: non significant.

Protein electrophoratic pattern: The protein electrophoratic pattern of chick pea leaves showed that, control leaves exhibited separation of 15 protein bands ranged between 329 KDa and 30 KDa. Magnetic treatment induced the increase of protein bands to 22. The new protein bands appeared at molecular weights 314, 248, 235, 226, 192, 135, 49 and 32 KDa. Magnetic treatment also, showed disappearance of one protein band at molecular weight 56 K KDa.

Chickpea yield and its components: Data presented in Table (4) show that irrigation chick-pea plants with magnetic water significantly increased all yield and yield components compared to control

treatment. The percent of increments reached to 38.64, 41.03 and 39.85 in seed, straw and biological yield per plant respectively as average of both seasons.

Table 3. Relative area percent of chick pea leaves irrigated with magnetic and non magnetic water at 55 days after sowing. (2008/09 season).

season).	season).			
M wt. K.Da.	control	Magnetic		
329	3.54	2.51		
323	3.61	1.47		
314		2.32		
322	16.97	1.46		
248		7.80		
235		1.31		
226		2.51		
192		2.68		
189	4.60	2.32		
148	4.23	5.38		
135		1.99		
124	8.00	2.06		
86	4.27	5.24		
66	6.89	7.70		
56	6.15			
53	2.76	5.69		
49		5.08		
45	10.45	7.76		
42	8.80	7.17		
36	8.79	6.15		
35	5.34	2.25		
32		10.68		
30	5.60	8.47		
Band Number	15	22		
Number of new band		8		

Table 4. Effect of irrigation with magnetized water on chickpea yield and its components at 55 days after sowing compared with tap water at 2008/09 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Treatment	2008/09 season			2009/2010 season		
Character	Tap water	Magnetic water	<i>t</i> -sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	<i>t</i> -sign.
Plant height (cm)	28.40	35.20	**	32.40	41.80	**
Branches (number plant ⁻¹)	2.47	3.23	**	3.20	4.40	**
Pods (number plant ⁻¹)	6.60	8.81	ns	7.60	11.50	**
Pods weight (g plant ⁻¹)	1.86	2.59	**	1.96	2.76	**
Seeds (number plant ⁻¹)	6.89	9.50	**	7.13	10.20	**
100-seed weight (g)	18.16	19.03	**	19.13	19.17	ns
Seed yield (g plant ⁻¹)	1.36	1.77	**	1.43	2.10	**
Straw yield (g plant ⁻¹)	1.43	1.91	**	1.98	2.94	**
Biological yield (g plant ⁻¹)	2.79	3.68	**	3.41	5.04	**

^{*, **} *t* is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, ns: non significant.

DISCUSSION

Magnetic water is considered one of several physical factors affects plant growth and its development. Results obtained in Table (1) showed that chickpea plants which irrigated with magnetic water grew taller and heavier than those irrigated with tap water. The stimulatory effect of the application of magnetic water on the growth parameters reported in this study may be attributed to the increase in photosynthetic pigments, endogenous promoters (IAA) (Table 2); increase protein biosynthesis (Table 3). In this connection, Fomicheva et al., (1992 a & b) and Belyavskaya (2001) reported that magnetic water significantly induces cell metabolism and mitosis meristematic cells of pea, lentil and flax. Moreover, the formation of new protein bands in plants treated with magnetic water may be responsible for the stimulation of all growth, and promoters in treated plants. In this respect, Celik et al. (2008) found that the increase in the percentage of plant regeneration is due to the effect of magnetic field on cell division and protein synthesis in paulownia node cultures. Shabrangi and Majd (2009) concluded that, biomass increasing needs metabolic changes particularly increasing protein biosynthesis. Our results are in agreement with those obtained by other researchers; Hilal and Hilal (2000) they reported that magnetized water has more tripled seedling emergence of wheat than tap water. Reina et al. (2002) found significance increase in the rate of water absorption accompanied with an increase in total mass of lettuce with the increase of magnetic force. Moreover, Nasher (2008) found that chick pea plants irrigated with magnetized water were taller than plants irrigated with tap water

Significant increases in pigment fractions were recorded in chickpea plants irrigated with magnetized water compared to control treatment. These results may be due to the effect of magnetic field on alteration the key of cellular processes such as gene transcription which play an important role in altering cellular processes. In this respect Tian et al. (1991) and Atak et al. (2000 and 2003) who found an increase in chlorophyll content specifically appeared after exposure to a magnetic field for a short time. Moreover, Atak et al. (2003) suggested that, increase all photosynthetic pigment through the increase in cytokinin synthesis which induced by MF. They also added cytokinin play an important role on chloroplast development, shoot formation, axillary bud growth, and induction of number of genes involved in chloroplast development nutrient metabolism. It also may be due to the increase in growth promoters (IAA) (Table 2). Similar results were observed on rice and chick-pea when irrigated with magnetic water (Tian et al. 1991 and Nasher 2008). As well as the improvement of photosynthetic pigments were recorded in Paulowria species (Atak et al 2000), sunflower (Oldocay, 2002), soy bean (Atak et al 2003) when seeds or explants exposed to magnetic field (3.8 - 4.8 mt) for a short time.

Our results also showed the promotive effect of magnetic water treatment on total phenols and total

indole. This improvement may be attributed to the role of MT in changing the characteristic of cell membrane, effecting the cell reproduction and causing some changes in cell metabolism (Goodman *et al.*, 1995 and Atak *et al.*, 2003).

The formation of new protein bands in plants treated with magnetic water was accompanied with increasing growth promoters (IAA) (Table 3). In this respect, Kuba *et al.*, (2000) found that IAA effect on DNA replication. Moreover, Celik *et al.* (2008) and Shabrangi and Majd (2009) reported that magnetic field is known as an environmental factor which affects on gene expression. Therefore, by augmentation of biological reactions like protein synthesis

Chickpea yield and its components were increased significantly under magnetic irrigation. These results are logical to improvement growth parameters growth promoters (Table-1) and (IAA) photosynthetic pigments (Table 2). The remarkable improvement induced by the magnetic treatment was consistent with the results of other studies on other crops like cereal, sunflower, flax, pea, wheat, pepper, tomato, soybean, potato and sugar beet. In these studies the crop yield were increased (Pittman, 1972; Gubbels, 1982; Vakharia et al., 1991; Pietruszowski, 1993; Namba et al., 1995; Atak et al., 1997; Özalpan et al., 1999; Yurttafl et al., 1999; Pietruszewski (1999a &b) Reina et al., 2001; Oldaçay, 2002,), Takac et al. (2002), Crnobarac et al. (2002) and Marinkovic et al. (2002).

It could be concluded from this study that, chick pea irrigation with magnetized water could effectively increase growth parameters, yield and some chemical constituents.

REFERENCES

- Alexander M.P. and Doijode S.D., (1995). Electromagnetic field, a novel tool to increase germination and seedling vigour of conserved onion (*Allium cepa* L.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds with low viability. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter, 104, 1-5.
- Atak Ç, Danilov V, Yurttafl B, Yalçn S, Mutlu D and Rzakoulieva A. (2000). Effect of magnetic field on Paulownia seeds. Com JINR. Dubna. 1-14.
- Atak C, Danilov V, Yurttas B, Yalçn S, Mutlu D andrzakoulieva A. (1997). Effects of magnetic field on soybean (*Glycine max* L.Merrill) seeds. Com JINR. Dubna, 1-13.
- Atak C, Emiroglu O", Aklimanoglu S, and Rzakoulieva A. (2003). Stimulation of regeneration by magnetic field in

- soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) tissue cultures. J Cell Mol. Biol., 2:113–119.
- Belyavskaya, N.A. (2001). Ultrastructure and calcium balance in meristem cells of pea roots exposed to extremely low magnetic field. Adv. Space Res., 28: 4, 645-650.
- Carbonell M.V, Martinez E, and Amaya J.M. (2000). Stimulation of germination in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by a static magnetic field. Electro-Magnetobiol., 19(1):121–128.
- Çelik, Ö. Atak, Ç. A. nd Rzakulieva, A. (2008). Stimulation of rapid regeneration by a magnetic field in paulownia node cultures. J. of Central Europ. Agric. 9 (2): 297 303.
- Crnobarc J., Marinkovic B., Tatic M. and Malesevic M., (2002). The effect of REIS on startup growth and seed yield of sunflower and soybean. Biophysics in agriculture production, University of Novi Sad, Tampograf.
- De Souza A., Casate R., and Porras E., (1999). Effect of magnetic treatment of tomato seeds (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.*) On germination and seedling growth [in Spanish]. Invest Agr: Prod. Prot. Veg., 14(3): 67-74.
- Findlay G.P., and Hope A.B., (1976). Electrical properties cells: methods and findings. In: Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, Vol. 2A (Luttge U., Pittman M.G., eds), Springer- Verlag, Berlin. 53-92 pp.
- Frey A.H., (1993). Electromagnetic field interactions with biological systems. FASEB J., 7, 272-281.
- Formicheva, V.M, Govorun, R.D and Danilov, V.T. (1992a). Proliferative activity and cell reproduction in the root meristem of pea, lentil and flax in the conditions of screening the geomagnetic field. Int. Biophysics. 37: 645-648.
- Formicheva, V.M, Zaslavskii, V.A, Govorun, R.D. and Danilov, V.T. (1992b). Dynamics of RNA and protein synthesis in the cel1s of the root meristems of the pea, lentil and flax Biophysics., 37: 649-656.
- Goodman EM, Greenabaum B and Morron TM. (1995). Effects of electromagnetic fields on molecules and cells. International Review of Cytology, 158: 279-325.
- Gubbels, G.H. (1982). Seedling growth and yield response of flax, buckwheat, sunflower and field pea after preseeding magnetic treatment. Can. J. Plant Sci., 62: 61-64.
- Hilal M.H. and Hilal M.M. (2000). Application of Magnetic Technologies in Desert Agriculture:I-Seed germination and seedling emergence of some crops in a saline calcareous soil. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 40, (3.): 413- 423.
- Jones, D.B.; Bolwell, G.P. and Gilliat, G.J.J. (1986).

 Amplification, by pulsed Electromagnetic fields, of plant growth regulator induced Phenylalanine

- Ammonia-Lyrase during differentiation in suspension cultured plant cells. Bioelectromagnetics, 5 (1): 1-12.
- Kuba M and Kakimoto T. (2000). The cytokinin hyposensitive genes of Arabidopsis negatively regulate the cytokinin signalling pathway for cell division and chloroplast development. The Plant J., 23(1): 385-394.
- Larsen, P. Harbo, A., Klungron, S. and Ashein, T.A. (1962). On the biosynthesis of some indole compounds in *Acetobacter xylinum*. Physiol. Plant., 15: 552-565.
- Malik, C.P. and Singh, M.B. (1980). Plant Enzymology and Histoe-nzymology. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi.
- Marinkovic B., Ilin Z., Marinkovic J., Culibrk M., Jacimovic G., (2002). Potato yield in function variable electromagnetic field. Biophysics in agriculture production. University of Novi Sad, Tomograf.
- Martinez E., Carbonell M.V., and Amaya J.M. (2000). A static magnetic field of barley (*Hordeum vugare* L.). Electro and Magnetobiol., 19: 271-277.
- Moran, R. (1982): Formulae for determination of chlorophyllus pigments extracted with N, N-dimethylformamide. Plant Physiol., 69:1371-1381.
- Namba K, Sasao A and Shibusawa S. (1995). Effect of magnetic field on germination and plant growth. Acta Horticulture, 399: 143-147.
- Nasher , S. H. (2008): The Effect of magnetic water on growth of chickpea seeds. Eng. & Tech. 26,(9):4 pages.
- Oldacay, S. and Erdem, G. (2002). Evaluation of chlorophyll contents and peroxides activities in I (Helianthus annuus L.) genotypes exposed to radiation and magnetic field. Pak. J. of Appl. Sci., 2 (10): 934-937.
- Özalpan A., Atak, C., Yurttas, B., Alikamanoglu, S., Canbolat, Y., Borucu H., Danilov V. and Rzakoulieva A. (1999). Effect of magnetic field on soybean yield (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp. 60.
- Pietruszewski S.T., (1999 a). Effect of alternating magnetic field on germination, growth and yield of plant seeds. Int. Agrophysics, 5 (11): 209-215.
- Pietruszewski S., (1999 b). Influence of pre-sowing magnetic biostimulation on germination and yield of wheat. Int. Agrophysics,13, 241-244.

- Pietruszweski, S., (1993). Effects of magnetic seed treatment on yields of wheat," Seed Sci. Techol., 21, 621-626.
- Pittman U.J. (1972). Biomagnetic responses in potatoes. Can J Plant Sci., 52: 727-733.
- Renia F.G., Pascual L.A., Fundora I.A. (2001). Influence of a Stationary Magnetic Field on water relations in lettuce Seeds. Part II: Experimental Results. Bioelectromagnetics 22:596-602.
- Ruzic R., Jerman I., and Gogala N. (1998a). Water stress reveals effects of ELF magnetic fields on the growth of seedlings. Electro- and Magnetobiology, 17: 17-30.
- Shabrangi, A. and Majd, A. (2009). Effect of magnetic fields on growth and antioxidant systems in agricultural plants. PIERS Proceedings, Beijing, China, March, 23-27.
- Sheri L. H., Ncolas E. S., Michae T. K. and Joanna B. G. (2000). Comparison of protein expressed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains representing initial and chronic isolates from a cystic fibrosis patient: an analysis by 2 D gel electrophoresis and capillary coloumn liquid chromatograph tandem mass spectrometry. Microbiology,146: 2495 2508.
- Takac A., Gvozdenovic G., Marinkovic B., (2002). Effect of resonant impulse electromagnetic stimulation on yield of tomato and pepper. Biophysics in agriculture production, University of Novi Sad, Tampograf.
- Tian WX, Kuang YL and Mei ZP (1991). Effect of magnetic water on seed germination, seedling growth and grain yield of rice. Field Crop Abstracts. 044-07228.
- Vakharia DN, Davariya RL and Parameswaran M (1991). Influence of magnetic treatment on groundnut yield and attributes. Indian J. Plant Physiol. XXXIV: 131-136.
- Wo'jcik S. (1995). Effect of the pre-sowing magnetic biostimulation of the Buckwheat seeds on the yield and chemical composition of Buckwheat grain. Current Adv. Buckwheat Res., 93:667–674.
- Yurttas B., Atak C., Gökdoan G., Canbolat Y., Danilov V. and Rzakoulieva A. (1999). Detection of the positive effect of magnetic field on sunflower plants (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp. 59.